

# Introduction to Poisson processes with R

## Objectives

The aim of this session is to manipulate and illustrate the notions introduced in the lecture on Poisson processes with the R software.

Load the R file **TP-PoissonProcess-etud.R** (to be completed), available on the Moodle page, and fill in the gaps during this session.

## 1 Homogeneous Poisson processes observed on a fixed window

First, we consider the case of a fixed observation window (and thus a random number of points).

### 1.1 Simulation

- i. Recall the conditional distribution of the arrival times of a homogeneous Poisson process with rate `lambda` on an interval  $[0, T_{\max}]$ , given the number of points in that interval.
- ii. Write an R function `simulPPh1` with arguments `lambda` and `Tmax`, which simulates such a process and returns the corresponding arrival times.
- iii. For `lambda=2` and `Tmax=10`, simulate a homogeneous Poisson process and plot both the counting process and the arrival times.  
Indication : you may use the R functions `plot()` (with the option `type="s"`), `points()` and `lines()`.

### 1.2 Maximum likelihood estimator

- i. Write an R function `MLE1` which returns the Maximum Likelihood Estimator of a homogeneous Poisson process `PPh` observed on a fixed window  $[0, T_{\max}]$ .
- ii. Apply it on different simulated data. What do you observe ?

### 1.3 Asymptotic behavior of the MLE

In this section, we illustrate the asymptotic behavior<sup>1</sup>, as  $T$  goes to  $+\infty$ , of the MLE  $\hat{\lambda}_T$  of the rate  $\lambda$  of a homogeneous Poisson process on  $[0, T]$ .

#### 1.3.1 Strong LLN-type result

First let us illustrate the almost-sure convergence :

$$\hat{\lambda}_T \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow +\infty]{a.s.} \lambda. \quad (1)$$

Note that in the lecture, we considered a weak LLN-type result since we only proved the convergence in probability. To illustrate (1) :

- i. Fix a rate `lambda=2` and a sequence of `Tmax` that tends to  $+\infty$ , say `Tillustr=1:500`.
- ii. For each `Tmax` in `Tillustr`, simulate a homogeneous Poisson process with rate `lambda` on  $[0, T_{\max}]$ , and compute the MLE.
- iii. Plot the obtained values for the MLE as a function of `Tmax`.

What do you observe ?

1. LLN refers to "Law of Large Numbers" and CLT stands for "Central Limit Theorem".

### 1.3.2 CLT-type result

We now illustrate the following result :

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\lambda}_T - \lambda) \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow +\infty]{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}(0, \lambda). \quad (2)$$

To do so, fix  $\lambda=2$  and do the following for different values of  $T_{\max}$ .

i. Fix the number of simulations  $K=1000$  and create a vector of size  $K$  :  
 $Z = \text{rep}(0, K)$ .

ii. Store in  $Z$  a  $K$ -sample with same distribution as  $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\lambda}_T - \lambda)$  :

```
for(k in 1:K)
{
  pph=simulPPh1(lambda, Tmax)
  mle=MLE1(pph, Tmax)
  Z[k]=sqrt(Tmax) * (mle-lambda)
}
```

iii. **With the density function** : Plot the histogram of the sample  $Z$  (which approximates the density of the  $Z_k$ 's), and compare it with the density of the limit distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, \lambda)$  :

```
hist(Z, freq=FALSE, main=paste("Tmax", Tmax, sep=" "))
curve(dnorm(x, mean=0, sd=sqrt(lambda)),
      col="red", add=TRUE)
```

What do you observe when  $T_{\max}$  equals 1, 10, 100 and 500 ?

iv. **With the c.d.f.** : Plot the empirical cumulative distribution function of  $Z$ , and compare it with the cumulative distribution function of a  $\mathcal{N}(0, \lambda)$  :

```
plot(ecdf(Z), main=paste("Tmax", Tmax, sep=" "))
curve(pnorm(x, mean=0, sd=sqrt(lambda)),
      col="red", lwd=2, add=TRUE)
```

What do you observe when  $T_{\max}$  equals 1, 10, 100 and 500 ?

## 1.4 Statistical inference : hypothesis testing

Consider a given rate  $\lambda_0 > 0$  to test. Given the observation of a homogeneous Poisson process with (unknown "true") rate  $\lambda$  observed on a fixed window  $[0, T]$ , we aim at testing

$$\mathcal{H}_0 : \lambda = \lambda_0 \quad \text{against} \quad \mathcal{H}_1 : \lambda \neq \lambda_0.$$

i. Construct a test of  $\mathcal{H}_0$  against  $\mathcal{H}_1$ , and express the corresponding  $p$ -value in terms of the standard gaussian c.d.f.

ii. Write an R function `test1`, with arguments the observed homogeneous Poisson process `PPh`, the observation time `Tmax` and the rate `lambda0` to test, and which returns the  $p$ -value of the test constructed in the previous question.

iii. To validate this test on simulated data, we estimate for different values of  $\lambda$ , the probability (or proportion)

$$p(\lambda) = \mathbb{P}_\lambda(\text{reject } \mathcal{H}_0).$$

In particular, if  $\lambda$  satisfies  $\mathcal{H}_0$  (i.e.  $\lambda = \lambda_0$ ), then  $p(\lambda)$  is the size of the test, and otherwise, it is the power of the test against the alternative  $\lambda$ .

The R function `plot.level.power1` in the file **TP-PoissonProcess-etud.R** plots for different values of the rate  $\lambda$  (in `TrueLambda`) confidence intervals for the proportion  $p(\lambda)$ .

Now, fix `alpha=0.05`, `nsimu=1000` and let `lambda0=2` and `TrueLambda=c(2, 2.2, 2.5, 3)`.

Apply this function for `Tmax = 1, 10, 100` and `500`. Understand and comment the obtained graphs.

## 2 Homogeneous Poisson processes with fixed number of points

Second, we consider the case of a fixed number of points (and thus a random observation window).

### 2.1 Simulation

- i. Recall the distribution of the interarrival times of a homogeneous Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ .
- ii. Write an R function `simulPPh2` with arguments the rate  $\lambda$  and the number of points  $n$ , which simulates such a process and returns the corresponding arrival times.
- iii. As in the "fixed window" case, fix  $\lambda=2$  and  $n=20$ , simulate a homogeneous Poisson process and plot both the counting process and the arrival times.

### 2.2 Maximum likelihood estimator

- i. Write an R function `MLE2` which returns the maximum likelihood estimator of the rate of a homogeneous Poisson process  $PPh$  observed up to the  $n$ th point.
- ii. Apply it on different simulated data. What do you observe?

### 2.3 Asymptotic behavior of the MLE

As in section 1.3, illustrate the asymptotic behavior of the MLE  $\hat{\lambda}_n$  of a homogeneous Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$  observed up to the  $n$ th point as  $n$  goes to  $+\infty$ , that is

- i. (Strong) LLN-type result :

$$\hat{\lambda}_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{a.s.} \lambda.$$

- ii. CLT-type result :

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\lambda}_n - \lambda) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow +\infty]{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{N}(0, \lambda^2).$$

## 2.4 Statistical inference : confidence intervals

- i. Recall both asymptotic and non-asymptotic confidence intervals for the (unknown) rate  $\lambda$  of a homogeneous Poisson process  $PPh$  observed up to the  $n$ th point.
- ii. Write an R function with arguments `PPh`, `alpha=0.05` and a boolean `asymptotic`, and which, depending on the value of `asymptotic` (TRUE or FALSE), returns the corresponding confidence interval for  $\lambda$ .
- iii. A for the testing problem, we want to validate the confidence intervals on simulated data.  
To do so, illustrate, in both asymptotic and non-asymptotic cases, the fact that the proportion of times the rate belongs to the obtained confidence interval is larger than the fixed confidence level  $1-\alpha$ .  
You may fix  $\lambda=2$ , and consider  $n=10$  or  $n=100$ .

## 3 Inhomogeneous Poisson processes

We now aim at simulating inhomogeneous Poisson processes with given intensity function, on a given interval.

- i. Recall the thinning algorithm. In particular, when does it apply?
- ii. Write an R function `simulPPI` with arguments the intensity function `lambda_fct`, `Tmax` and an upper bound `M`, which simulates an inhomogeneous Poisson processes with intensity function `lambda_fct()` on  $[0, Tmax]$ , and returns the corresponding arrival times.
- iii. Simulate and represent inhomogeneous Poisson processes on  $[0, 10]$  with intensity functions :
  - $\lambda_1 : t \mapsto 2 \times \mathbb{1}_{[0,7]}(t) + 8 \times \mathbb{1}_{]8,10]}(t)$ .
  - $\lambda_2 : t \mapsto 2t$ .
  - $\lambda_3 : t \mapsto \dots$